

SECRET

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

ORCON

CD-42
7

INSCOM

GRILL FLAME

PROGRAM

SESSION REPORT

CLASSIFIED BY: MSG, DAMI-ISH
051630Z JUL 78

REVIEW ON: June 2000

GRILL FLAME

SECRET

SECRET

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION CD-42

1. (S) This report documents a remote viewing session conducted in compliance with a request for information on a target of interest to USI. The purpose of this session was to describe an area designated by geographic coordinates.
2. (S) The protocol used for this session is detailed in the document GRILL FLAME Protocol, AMSAA Applied Remote Viewing Protocol (S), undated.
3. (S) At TAB A is a summary of the data presented by the remote viewer during the session. A complete transcript of the session is available upon request. At TAB B are drawings made by the remote viewer reference his impressions of the target site. At TAB C is target cuing information provided the remote viewer. At TAB D is Memorandum for the Record.
4. (S) The summary at TAB A represents the salient points provided by the remote viewer, and, as such, has not been subjected to any intermediate analysis, evaluation or collation. Interpretation and use of the information provided is the responsibility of the requestor.

SECRET

SECRET

11 June 1980

REPORT #CD-42, 10 June 1980

SG1A

SG1A

SUBJECT: Unconfirmed Activity RE: Activity at [REDACTED]

1. (U) REFERENCE: Report #CD-40, 16 June 1980.
2. (S/NOFORN) Source observed several structures which he described as follows:
 - a. (S/NOFORN) A building resembling a lot of long peaked-roofed buildings standing side-by-side and attached to each other. The roof of this building had a linear structure on its roof which resembled a "fire-stop." Source referred to this building "multi-gabled" and "multi-roofed." (See item 1, Inclosure 1.)
 - b. (S/NOFORN) Source observed what appeared to be metal "junk" or parts of old machines laying around outside the building in an (apparent) disorderly fashion.
 - c. (S/NOFORN) Source reported that this building appeared to have concrete (skirting) around it, and between it and a second building opposite. (See item 2, Inclosure 1.)
 - d. (S/NOFORN) Source described this second building as appearing to be single story, of metal construction, and of a length 3-4 times greater than its width. (item 2, Inclosure 1.)
 - e. (S/NOFORN) Source observed that across an undetermined distance to the (apparent) rear of the multi-gabled building was a fence and a road, on the other side of which was a multi-dished antenna. (See Inclosure 1.)
 - f. (S/NOFORN) To the right of the multi-gabled building was an object which appeared to be a bulk liquid-type storage tank. To the lower right was a tower. The distance between the tower and the multi-gabled building was approximately 200 yards. (See Inclosure 1.)
 - g. (S/NOFORN) A "few hundred yards" in what appeared to be a north-west direction was the believed location of a similar multi-gabled building which was reported in report CD-40. (See arrow, Inclosure 1.)
3. (S/NOFORN) Source described interior portions of the two structures (item 1 and 2, Inclosure 1) as follows:
 - a. (S/NOFORN) The multi-gabled building consisted essentially of an "open shell" having "open bays" inside, light emanating from near the roof as though there were windows in the roof. Source said that rows of interior steel posts support the roof, and that the building appeared uninsulated. In one end

CLASSIFIED BY:MSG,DAMI-ISH
DATED: 051630ZJUL78
REVIEW ON: June 2000

SECRET

GRILL FLAME

Copy 1 of 2 copies

~~SECRET~~

of the structure was a "big fire pit" or "forge" of approximately 20' to 30' by 10' to 15' dimensions which source thought to be coal fired. At the opposite end of the building was a pool of (apparent) water on the floor and a truck-type vehicle nearby which appeared to have been recently washed. Source said it appeared as though vehicles could "drive through" the building in a direction perpendicular to its long axis. (See Inclosure 2.)

b. (S/NOFORN) Source observed "all kinds of equipment" within the multi-gabled building, some of which was alike, but most of which was different. Source observed carts and overhead hoists within the building, as well as "trucks" and "different vehicles" which appeared to be heavy equipment.

c. (S/NOFORN) Source reported the interior of the metal building (item 2, Inclosure 1) to consist essentially of rooms at one end, and to have a large steel container "bigger than a truck" (see Inclosure 3) inside. Source observed large doors on the large steel container which appeared to have "dogs" thereon (as if) the container could be made air-or liquid-tight. Source reported the container extended (approximately) two-thirds the height to the interior roof-line of the building, and to be of the dimensions 8' to 10' long, 8' to 10' wide, and 10' to 12' in height.

4. (S/NOFORN) Source had opportunity to re-observe that area of the installation which (appeared) to be that area described in Report #CD-40. Source's description of this area, which (appeared) to be "a few hundred yards" to the northwest of the area shown at Inclosure 1, is shown at Inclosure 4, and was described by Source as follows:

a. (S/NOFORN) Source observed that some of the truck-type vehicles which (had been) parked outside the "old" building were gone, and that only three to four remained. Source explained that the "old" building (see Inclosure 4) was that which he had described earlier as the "saw-toothed building" in Report CD-40. Source explained that this building is similar in structure and construction to that described as the "multi-gabled" building in paragraph 2a, above.

b. (S/NOFORN) Source reported that "one or two each of quite a few things" (equipment) were located in this "old" building, and that the equipment appeared "brand, spanking new." Source further explained that the equipment appeared as though it had not been field abused.

c. (S/NOFORN) Source observed the following equipment within the "old" building: (see Inclosures 5 thru 7)

(1) (S/NOFORN) A vehicle with sloping frontal "bow" and three or four wheels on each side which reminded Source of an old US "Duck" type vehicle. Only a small portion of this vehicle was observable.

~~SECRET~~

(2) (S/NOFORN) A vehicle described as a mobile anti-aircraft gun having a tracked chassis, radar dish, and "small calibers" (guns) on top. Source did not recall the exact number of guns, but stated it "may have been 3 or 4."

(3) (S/NOFORN) Source observed a vehicle which appeared to be an SP gun of unknown type. Source stated the gun tube on this equipment appeared to be shorter and thicker than that which he would expect to see on a tank, that the "turret" area appeared more vertical and angular than a tank's more rounded turret, and that he could not observe any "gap" between the hull and turret which would indicate the turret was moveable. Source said the turret appeared "fixed," unlike that of a tank. Source also stated this SP gun was "dust covered" as though it had been sitting a long time.

(4) (S/NOFORN) Source observed a "truck that didn't look like a truck" (see Inclosure 6). Source stated this vehicle appeared very large, was of approximately 15' height, had a "cab over engine" configuration and "very big wheels."

(5) (S/NOFORN) Source observed a tracked rocket launcher (Inclosure 7) having racks on its back, the front of which looked more like a truck than a "track," (meaning tracked vehicle) and which had racks mounted over/in an open compartment in its rear.

(6) (S/NOFORN) Source also observed several "old" towed artillery pieces of approximately 122mm bore. These weapons (appeared) to have "older" chassis components than their tubes, implying the possibility the chassis served as a "modified test bed" for a gun tube which was different than normal.

(7) (S/NOFORN) Source observed 5 to 8 tanks of various types, sizes, and configuration in various states of disassembly. Source observed some (tanks) with turrets off, some (tanks) with tracks off and laying haphazardly aside, and (at least) one tank without (its) engine. Source described these tanks as not being very neatly arranged within the building; their arrangement (lack of orderliness) implying the area of the building to be not concerned with production or unit equipment maintenance, but rather test/evaluation or research. Source stated the particular flavor of equipment "sitting around" with components removed did not resemble the "methodology of a production line" or the "discipline" of a troop unit.

(8) (S/NOFORN) Source stated it appeared as though "new" projects entering the building would cause the delay or "putting aside" of ongoing projects in order to work on the one (project) with the shortest deadline.

d. (S/NOFORN) Source stated the interior of the "new" building (located to the left of "old" building, inclosure 4) consisted of offices and laboratory-type shops having (undescribed) machinery in them.

SECRET

SG1A

5. (U) COMMENT:

a. (S/NOFORN) Preliminary evaluation of data indicates applicability to a section of subject facility located [REDACTED]

b. (U) Request informal evaluation and comments be provided NLT 20 June 1980.

7 Incl
as

Kenneth V. Bell

KENNETH V. BELL
Captain, MI
Analyst

SECRET

①

ANTENNA




ROAD

BREAK IN DISTANCE



BULK
STORAGE




CONCRETE


"NEW
FAMILAR BLDG"




TO TOWER



2



3




4



ROAD




TRUCKS



(5)




SP
GON





①



SECRET

TARGET CUING INFORMATION

REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION CD-42

Project: 8003b
Session CD-42
100900 hrs, 10 June 1980

1. CUING DATA: (Provided to viewer.)

SG1A

Geographic coordinate:



SG1A

2. EEI: (Provided to interviewer)

a. Description of area.

b. What is the function of the target?

c. What is presently the most important aspect of (each) target?

SECRET

SECRET

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

10 June 1980

SUBJECT: Session CD-42 Cuing Data

SG1A

SG1A

1. (S/NOFORN) This was #7's third session against Project 8003b [REDACTED] Previous Project 8003b sessions employing #7 were CCC-98 and CD-40.

a. (S/NOFORN) Identical coordinates [REDACTED] were provided for both previous sessions. However, it appeared from a review of session CD-40 that #7 did not return to the location of session CCC-98, but, rather, had accessed some other target relevant area of the overall target installation. A GRILL FLAME analyst review indicated that #7 may have keyed on the location of target relevant equipment rather than the coordinates which were provided. This was indicated by the fact that target related equipment was reported by #7, but at another location which appeared to resemble a second suspected equipment storage area within the overall installation. Therefore, it was decided to attempt to validate session CD-40's results by directing this session (CD-42) against a new set of coordinates which corresponded to the suspected CD-40 location.

SG1A

b. (S/NOFORN) #7 was provided only the following coordinates at start of session: [REDACTED]

2. (S/NOFORN) #66 had performed as interviewer in 16 preceding sessions involving Project 8003; two of which (CCC-98 and CD-40) involved Project 8003b and #7. #66 was aware that session CD-40 had not hit the exact target area, but was suspected of having accessed the target vicinity at a location other than that actually intended. #66 was aware that the use of "new" coordinates was intended to validate the results of session CD-40, and could extrapolate, therefore, that if the analyst's estimate was correct, imagery similar to that received during CD-40 should logically be acquired during session CD-42. Due to #66's previous involvement in all Project 8003 sessions, most importantly sessions CCC-98 and CD-40, #66 was aware of the general nature of the target equipment as well as the gestalt natures of the geographies of the target areas themselves.

SECRET